British Nationalist
FOR RACE AND NATION
OCTOBER 1987
12p
BNP

BE A SOCIALIST AND LIVE IN STYLE!

Exposed: the reality behind the slogans in support of the 'poor' and the 'oppressed'

FOR MANY YEARS, socialists in Britain (and elsewhere in the world) have been posing as champions of the poorer classes.

Labour Party propaganda is full of tear-jerking tales of sympathy for the 'deprived' and the 'disadvantaged', and it always claims that Labour represents and speaks for these people. Labour loves to appear as the party of the 'working man'. "Vote for us," its publicists are always shouting, "and we will fight against poverty and want."

To help sustain this image, Labour regularly produces taxation proposals under which many of the nation's hardest working and most skilled people will be milked of a large part of their carnings, supposedly to provide more for those at the opposite end of the economic and social scale. Socialists are the 'caring party', it is always claimed.

The reality, however, is very different.

Behind all the slogans and the breast-beating, Labour Party politicians, and socialists over the world generally, manage to do very well out of their cause. They live in large and lavishly furnished houses. They drive around in some of the most sophisticated cars. And they wear expensive clothes. In fact they enjoy the very lifestyle of the 'capitalists' they like to attack. And it is only very rarely that we ever hear of them giving away part of their wealth to the poor.

LUXURY HOTEL SUITE

When Labour leader Neil Kinnock and his wife arrived in Brighton for the party's annual conference recently, they were accomodated in a special luxury suite at the new Ramada Hotel. The suite comprised four rooms and cost £600 a night. Other, lesser, lights in the party were put up at the same hotel in rooms normally costing £135 a night but let to them at a special rate of £100 a night.

NUM leader Arthur Scargill is another socialist who loves to cut a figure as a champion of the down-trodden and an enemy of the rich. Certainly, many of the members of his union went very short during the coal strike he organised a year or so back, but this did not prevent Arthur continuing to swan it around in his brand new Jaguar, paid for of course out of union funds. More recently, comrade Scargill enjoyed a holiday in Cuba as the guest of local socialist boss Fidel Castro. There he was seen living it up in the most sumptuous of the country's hotels. Rather like two other socialists, black MP Paul Boateng and his wife, who are constantly agitating on behalf of the allegedly deprived Blacks in Britain's inner cities. They had a similar holiday in Brazil last year at public expense, staying at one of that country's leading hotels at a cost of well over £100 a night. Who paid the bills was undisclosed.

And talking of cars, the latest model that far left Liverpool councillor Derek Hatton is driving about in is a £33,000 BMW.

Not only is there plenty of money to

Contd. on page 2

LUXURY HOTEL SUITE FOR THE KINNOCKS



This is where Neil Kinnock and his wife stayed during the Labour conference at Brighton. Above is the Kinnocks' bedroom

Here is the drawing room joining the bedroom

And here is the dining room, all ready for entertaining the other 'workers' representatives'

Dewsbury
BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY CAMPAIGNS IN SUPPORT OF WHITE PARENTS

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY has taken up the cudgels on behalf of the parents in Dewsbury, Yorkshire, who have protested against having their children educated at schools at which the majority of pupils are Asian.

The BNP has launched a big campaign in the area in opposition to integrated schools and to the whole multi-racial society of which it is a product.

The Dewsbury parents achieved national headlines a few weeks ago when they declared they had had enough of seeing their children in the role of minorities in their schools and arranged to take those children to an alternative school where Whites would not be in the minority. As soon as these parents made their stand, they came under a concerted attack from the whole of the powerful and influential 'race relations' industry, as well as local education officials.

Dewsbury is one of those towns now in grave danger of an Asian take-over. Immigration from the Indian sub-continent has proceeded at such a rate that the town is now like a smaller edition of Bradford. Many schools in the area are predominantly Asian and white children who have to attend them labour under grave educational disadvantages — similar to those described by Bradford ex-headmaster Ray Honeyford — forced eventually to leave his job as a result of Asian pressure.

The Dewsbury parents were of course protesting about what is essentially a SYMPTOM of the multi-racial madness. The role of the BNP in the area has been to point to the underlying CAUSES of what has happened in local schools. The basic cause is of course the policy, pursued by successive governments, of turning Britain into a multi-racial country. What has been happening in Dewsbury will not be effectively tackled except by tackling the whole policy, programme and doctrine of multi-racialism and fighting for the return of a racially homogeneous Britain.

The BNP announced, to spearhead its campaign in Dewsbury, that it would hold a protest march and public meeting in the town on October 17th. Immediately this intention was known, local Asians raised a storm of protest and threatened an outbreak of public violence and disorder if the march and meeting were not called off. It is a measure of the powerful influence that Asians have obtained in the area, and of the craven cowardice of local authorities, that the BNP was refused permission to march and refused the hire of a municipally-owned public hall for its meeting. Local Yorkshire folk should be warned by this development of just how far their freedoms and rights have been eroded and just how much power the newcomers now wield in their neighbourhood.

Undaunted, the BNP is continuing its campaign in the town with newspaper sales and leaflet distribution, and so far it has won a great deal of local publicity and support.

British
Nationalist

No. 72 OCTOBER 1987
Editor: John Morse

IS THIS DEMOCRACY?

FRENCH NATIONALIST Jean-Marie Le Pen, leader of the Front National, France's largest patriotic pro-white political party, had a long-standing invitation from top Tory and former Thatcher aide Sir Alfred Sherman to address an unofficial fringe meeting at the Tory Party conference at Blackpool. In a recent French media interview, M. Le Pen commented that, in the context of World War II, which cost the lives of many millions of Europeans of all nationalities, the so-called 'holocaust' which it is claimed the Jews suffered was merely one detail. M. Le Pen was also reported to have alluded in passing to the fact that it is now widely questioned by many people whether there ever were in reality any such things as the Nazi 'gas chambers' such as have been alleged, since 1945, to have existed during the war.

A wave of hysteria broke as a result of M. le Pen's observations. His French parliamentary opponents furiously attacked him, and, as France's militant left-wingers and Zionists took to the streets demanding his blood, Jewish organisations in Britain simultaneously brought pressure to bear for a ban on any meeting to be addressed by M. Le Pen in this country.

Tory Party Chairman Norman Tebbit, in his turn, put the screws on Sir Alfred Sherman to withdraw his invitation to the FN leader, whilst Home Secretary Douglas Hurd faced demands that M. Le Pen should be excluded from Britain — despite the fact that he is a member of the French and European parliaments and has broken no UK laws.

Britain is supposed to be a 'democracy'. Our 'democratic' principles are supposed to include such things as free speech and free assembly. What then are we to make of this whole disgraceful exhibition of attempted bans and censorship?

The case of M. Le Pen surely gives the lie to the pious and noble-sounding claims of our established rulers to be champions of 'freedom' and 'democracy'. Perhaps it also gives an insight into the REAL facts of power which govern our 'democratic' system.

M. Le Pen's views on the 'holocaust' could well be wrong. But that does not mean he should not be entitled to hold them and express them. And, if they are wrong, why are those who oppose them so strongly not prepared to meet M. Le Pen in open public debate and show him to be wrong by the force of superior argument?

After all, to his great credit, Sir Alfred Sherman (himself Jewish) has been quoted in the Jewish Chronicle as saying that the question of whether the Nazi 'gas chambers' ever existed was a legitimate area of intellectual curiosity and debate. If the official Jewish spokesmen feel confident of their own claims in this area, why should they fear to submit them to the test of public discussion?

And, more fundamentally, why should any racial minority in this country, Jewish or otherwise, dictate who may be allowed a public platform in Britain and who may not?

Finally, why, when the Jewish community tried to exert such pressure, did the Tory Party leadership capitulate so abjectly to it? Are the Tories the real government or just the front for another government, not elected by the people? It is time we had an answer.

THE COUP IN FIJI
Why all the fuss?

HER MAJESTY The Queen, apparently, is not pleased at the recent coup in Fiji staged by Colonel Rabuka and has hinted that if Rabuka and Co. continue to remain in power it is likely that Fiji will not be allowed to remain in the Commonwealth.

And what do we think? We think that whoever advised Her Majesty to take up this position gave very bad advice.

To begin with, the whole 'Commonwealth' business has become an utter nonsense. The one part of the Commonwealth that is worth preserving, and the one part that should be of concern to us in Britain, is the white part, the dominions, where the people are mainly or largely of British stock. As far as the remainder is concerned, they should be allowed — nay **obliged** — to go their own way. Whether they choose to live under military rulers such as Col. Rabuka or under parliamentary systems more like our own should not be of two seconds' interest to us.

But in the case of Fiji, we think that Britain makes herself look quite ridiculous striking moral postures against the new regime there.

What, after all, has Col. Rabuka done? All he has done is resolve that his people will preserve their nationhood and not come under the domination of Indians, who have now become the larger part of the population in Fiji. Is this such a terrible thing?

PARALLEL WITH BRITAIN

It should not be too difficult for us in Britain to arrive at an appreciation of what has happened to Fiji.

The indigenous inhabitants of Fiji are of Polynesian stock. With Fiji incorporated into the British Empire, and indeed with the encouragement of Britain, Indians began to settle in large numbers in the country. These Indians grew in numbers so rapidly that not very long ago they came to form the majority. As long as a democratic system of one-man, one-vote prevailed it was certain that the Indians would come to dominate Fiji — as indeed they did. In effect, the Fijians had lost their own country through an alien invasion.

Already we are very near this point in many areas of Britain, where Asians or Blacks have settled in large numbers and where, with the additional fact of their relatively high birthrate, they are rapidly outbreeding the indigenous British.

Given the present trends, which all our politicians are encouraging for all they are worth, it is not inconceivable that sometime in the future — and perhaps not so far off as we think — Asians and other foreign immigrant races could outnumber us in **our** country. Then, with the same system of one-man, one-vote, they would effectively have taken our country away from us. Britain would no longer belong to the British.

Would we wish passively to accept such a situation?

This is the situation that the Fijians have been landed in **now**. Something had to be done to stop the loss of Fiji by the Fijians.

Colonel Rambuka was the man who did it.

GOOD LUCK TO RABUKA!

We are going to say something now which may surprise those who believe that, as white 'racists', we do not not respect other races and nations: we like the look of this fellow Rambuka, and we wish him the best of luck!

The Colonel is in fact an excellent specimen of the Polynesian race to which he belongs. His features indicate both sincerity and strength — quite unlike most of our own 'leaders' of today. In the racially segregated world in which we believe, there would no reason whatever for ill will between ourselves and people like the Colonel and those who support him. They want to remain **Fijians** and to preserve their country for their people. This is a sentiment that we completely understand!

There is no reason for Fiji to remain in our Commonwealth, for the Fijians are an entirely separate people to us, with a different destiny. But neither is there any reason for us to be enemies.

The enemies of our race are not proud Polynesians like Col. Rabuka, nor proud Japanese like Prime Minister Nakasone, nor proud Negroes like Louis Farrakhan. The enemies of our race are the enemies of **all** races: those who wish to destroy the separate races of the world and mince them all up into one homogenised, coffee-coloured stew.

Colonel Rabuka is unpopular with a lot of powerful people at the moment because he wants to preserve his own race and keep his own country. Her Majesty The Queen would be well advised not to oppose Rabuka. What he does in Fiji is none of her business and none of Britain's.

Our only interest in what has been happening in Fiji should be in the fact that the same thing may one day happen to us.

And if it ever did, let us hope that we would adopt the path of national self-preservation and do whatever was necessary to preserve our country for our people — instead of tamely giving in and handing it to foreigners.

HER MAJESTY
She should not interfere



COLONEL RABUKA
Acting to protect his people

QUESTION: Why do nationalists insist on seeing a communist behind every bush?

ANSWER: Because liberals insist on placing a bush in front of every communist.

A picture of decadence

GAY 'WEDDING'
Chrissie and Jill cut the cake

THE DEGENERATION of our society continues. Not only have we recently had the spectacle of two male queers 'marrying' on television and the massed 'weddings' of queers at a London night club, we have now had two lesbians getting 'wed' in church!

Chrissie Waite and her 'lover' Jill Wootton were 'married' by a homosexual priest in a secret ceremony at a church in London last month.

And, as if the wedding ceremony itself wasn't sick enough, to add to the revolting affair the children of one of the lesbians attended the service, one girl among them, aged 10, acting as bridesmaid!

The children of the other lesbian at least had the decency and common sense to stay away.

Not only does this affair reflect the current sickness in our society but it also illustrates how sections of the Christian Church are setting a disgusting example by lending themselves to such a 'wedding' ceremony.

And that is not all. The 'wedding' was dutifully reported the next day in The Sun newspaper, without any disapproving comment.

Some people no doubt think this incident a sign of 'progress'. We are not among them. We hope for — and we work for — the day when these sick and loathsome creatures are driven back into the closet where they belong.

BE A SOCIALIST AND LIVE IN STYLE!

(Contd. from front page)

be made in socialist politics, other causes beloved of socialists, like Third World 'charities', are very lucrative as well. Only last month there was a big public scandal involving 'War on Want', one of the leading fund-raising organisations which dip their sticky fingers into people's pockets in order, purportedly, to provide relief for the hungry of Africa, Asia and Latin America. Evidence was brought to light in *The Daily Mail* that 'War on Want', behind its charitable facade, was in fact a socialist 'front' organisation with decidedly political aims. One of its leading executives was George Galloway, Labour MP for Glasgow Hillhead, onother public figure who loves parading his socialist conscience and his 'concern' for the plight of the poor. It was found that Mr. Galloway was drawing a salary from WonW of £22,000 a year, on top of which he had a lavish expense account. All this of course was apart from his normal parliamentary income. Mr. Galloway, travelling around on WonW duties, had some notoriously expensive habits, including the wining, dining and bedding of a great number of women.

LIVING THE LIFE OF RILEY

This is George Galloway, Labour MP. Apart from his parliamentary salary, he has been drawing £22,000 a year campaigning for 'War on Want' — plus expenses of course. During the day he was posing as a champion of the 'poor' and the 'oppressed' of the Third World. At night he was bedding numerous women in expensive hotels

THE MANDELA MANSION

The phenomenon is not confined to Britain. One of South Africa's leading socialist agitators is Mrs. Winnie Mandela, wife of jailed black African terrorist leader Nelson Mandela. We in Britain should know about Mrs. Mandela because her activities are regularly being sympathetically publicised on TV here. The Mandelas claim to be champions of the 'poor' and 'oppressed' Blacks of South Africa and Mrs. Mandela's and her husband's marxist connections are well documented. But what are Mrs. Mandela's credentials as a spokeswoman for the poor? Well, with this article we are publishing a photo of the new home that is being built for her in Soweto township. It is pretty sumptuous, as the picture makes clear, and is reported to cost the equivalent of a million dollars!

MILLION-DOLLAR MANSION FOR A MARXIST

Mrs. Winnie Mandela, darling of Britain's left, is seen above giving the communist clenched fist salute. Below is the new house being built for her in Soweto, South Africa. It is reputed to cost a million dollars



It does not seem to occur to Mrs. Mandela tc make do with cheaper accomodation and use the money saved to help the 'underprivileged' people she is always protesting about. And indeed, if she can afford this type of house, she must be doing very well out of socialist politics!

'SOCIALISM' JUST A BIG CON

These few examples should serve to show us that 'socialism', as advocated by those on the political left, is nothing more than a gigantic 'con'. The left claims to speak for the poor and the needy, but behind the left everywhere there is **big** money. The big money goes to finance socialist propaganda and to provide lavish lifestyles for socialist leaders. These politicians of the left are not what they claim to be — in fact they are **hypocrites** and **frauds** of the first order. They have no right to the support of the masses on whose behalf they are always agitating. It is time the people saw their 'socialism' as the squalid racket that it is and ditched them once and for all!

The 'inner city' programme: just a gimmick to win black votes

PICTURED HERE with this article is Mr. David Trippier, a government who glories in the high-sounding title of 'minister for the inner cities'. This shot of the minister shows him visiting an immigrant-intensive area of Birmingham and meeting an Asian family. He was there to inspect one of the latest government housing programmes designed especially for the purpose of winning votes from the ethnic minorities.

This trip by Mr. Trippier (no pun intended!) is typical of many, being part of a massive government public relations exercise intended to show how much Mrs. Thatcher and Co. 'care' about the ethnic minorities.

On this visit the minister was presenting to the Asians the keys of a new six-bedroom home, purpose-built for large families common among the Asian community. The home was part of a housing development that has received £385,000 as a grant from public funds. It consists of 73 houses of which 60 have been reserved for Asians, according to the *Birmingham Daily News*. Mr. Trippier appears to have gone specially to Birmingham to expose himself publicly in this way before the media in the company of a Mr. Mohammed Iqbal and his wife and six children, who are among the first beneficiaries of the brand new Barratts houses in Leopold Street, Highgate.

NO FAVOURS FOR BRITONS

There is no record of British families being accorded this kind of favoured treatment. No British government of recent times has demonstrated any tender concern of this kind to promote and provide for any growth in the birth-rate of our own people.

Mr. Trippier's blatant multi-racialist exhibitionism is one more small indication of where the Tory Party, as much as the other old party gangs at Westminster, sees its future political interests lying. At the last election the Tories traded on the great bogey of the so-called 'loony left' in order to con votes from decent Britons who were rightly concerned that the Labour Party was now a front for militantly anti-British minorities. But now that they are back in office the Tories are practically stealing the 'loony left's' clothes! Up until recently, the sort of reverse discrimination against British people implicit in this housing scheme in Birmingham would have been associated only with the more rabidly way-out left-wing Labour councils.

PUBLIC RELATIONS MAN
Government inner city minister David Trippier, seen here with a Birmingham Asian family. The minister was in the area to court the immigrant vote by playing Mr. Bountiful

Some 20 years ago, President Bourgiba of Tunisia, in an outburst typical of Afro-Asian leaders, spoke of the 'Third World's' huge population explosion and stridently demanded that Western European countries should regard it as their duty to provide living space for Africa's and Asia's surplus people. From their recent behaviour, it would now seem to be the policy of the Tories in this country to comply with these insolent demands.

The Tories appear to be bent on excelling even their political rivals in turning Britain into a gravy-train for Third Worlders.

For this is what it means when the likes of Trippier are chosen by Mrs. Thatcher for ministerial posts. What is more, if such a sizeable slice of the British people persist in showing themselves incapable of seeing where the Prime Minister's policies are leading them, they can only fairly expect to become despised — not least by the Afro-Asians who benefit from their feebleness) as the world's patsies!

OUR ANSWER TO THE PROBLEM

No-one for a moment would deny that many of the inner city areas of Britain are in a desperate plight and call urgently for renewal.

But the idea that such renewal can be accomplished, on any meaningful and permanent basis, just by throwing the taxpayers' money at these areas is utterly absurd and unrealistic.

More than just financial investment and job-creation is necessary to eliminate these shameful slums. The areas must be populated by people capable of taking advantage of the opportunities for improvement which the money and jobs create. Unless the money and jobs are accompanied by a realistic population policy aimed at improving the quality of the people inhabiting such areas, all the investment in them will just be cash thrown down the drain.

This is what makes the present government ballyhoo about 'renewing' the inner cities so ridiculous. We cannot believe that the government leaders who have made such a noise about their programme, from Mrs. Thatcher downwards, really imagine that that programme can ever work, as long as it disregards the human factor involved in slum creation. They may be stupid — but they cannot be **that** stupid!

We must therefore be forced to the conclusion that all the loud publicity about inner city 'renewal' is just a vote-catching manoeuvre, designed to make the government popular especially with the ethnic minority communities which form a large part (though not the whole) of the inner city populations.

We Nationalists believe that inner city renewal will only work on three conditions:-

(1) That the necessary investment is forthcoming. This means, in contrast to present government policy, the proper control of the forces of finance in Britain so as to ensure that they are not directed abroad to help our competitors but channelled into schemes of development at home to help British people.

(2) **Real** job-creation, not just the cosmetic kind. This will only be possible when we abandon international 'free trade' economics and adopt protective measures to safeguard British industry against the invasion of foreign imports.

(3) A eugenic policy which recognises that people, as well as economic conditions, determine the quality of life in all localities and communities. Such a policy must take into account, not only the effect on localities of the concentration of races and cultures incompatible with our own, but also that of the proliferation of very low-grade white people made possible by an undiscriminating welfare state. In future, welfare state services should be orientated towards encouraging the breeding of the healthiest, most intelligent and hard-working elements among our own people and not be just a free meal ticket for the dregs of society.



URBAN DECAY
Caused not only by economics but also by people

IT'S ALL BEEN TRIED BEFORE

Back in the 1950s, in a mainly black slum area in the suburb of Pruit-Igoe, in St. Louis, Missouri, USA, there was a big public hand-wringing over the atrocious living conditions. This led to the carrying out of a massive urban renewal programme at vast expense. The 55-acre slum district was bulldozed to the ground and replaced by 43 eleven-storey apartment houses in a beautiful parkland setting. Suggestions that some of these magnificicent new apartment blocks should be reserved for Whites were indignantly brushed aside. Pruit-Igoe was to be an inspiring example to the whole of America of how Blacks and Whites could live happily together in a congenial environment.

So what happened? An urban renewal dream was converted in 15 years into what came to be described as "a cancer in the heart of St. Louis, an indescribable cesspool of filth, fear and crime." Finally this great complex of buildings was emptied of its human contents and boarded up.

Information obtained from: BEHIND THE NEWS, PO Box 29, Sudbury, Suffolk CO10 6EF

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — With reference to your last issue, I would question the relevance of devoting half a page to Rudolf Hess. The party must aim to attract younger voters and capitalise on issues of **contemporary importance**, e.g. Dewsbury parents, parent power in schools, immigration and unemployment, rather than rehash sterile arguments about things that happened over 40 years ago.

If the reported rise in so-called 'racial attacks' is correct, it perhaps indicates a rising resentment on the part of British people towards immigration. I would prefer to see more articles like that on Bradford and Harwich, and articles on World War II consigned to the pages of *Spearhead*.

It is important not to cloud voters' minds with too many issues and concentrate on problems with which they can identify.

D. ROBERTSON
Edinburgh

Sir, — It was disappointing, but not entirely surprising, to hear that the National Front had terminated the 'Nationalist Alliance'. The divisive way in which the Front has acted, constantly, over the past 7 or 8 years — and in particular its attempt to split nationalism along 'ideological' lines — must prompt the question of what people actually now prevail in the NF leadership and what is their purpose?

We know very well that our political enemies, including the government, make it a practice to place agents within the camp both of the radical left and radical right, and that in our own case these agents have over the years used their positions to sow discord and promote splits.

Have such agents now taken over the running of the Front? I ask this because every action of that party since John Tyndall resigned the leadership in 1980 is indicative of a political death-wish.

J.B. PARSONS
Sleaford, Lincs.

Sir, — Everything you say about the media hiding the news is true. Some months ago in Wolverhampton, following some racial trouble, black militants left a car bomb outside the police station. It failed to go off. Though the incident was reported in the local press, it was blacked out by the national media.

B. KELLY (Mrs.)
Wolverhampton, West Midlands

Black militants form anti-British front with IRA murderers

A DELEGATION of black militants, reported to be from one of Britain's worst areas of racial violence, visited Northern Ireland in mid-September on what was described as a 'fact-finding' trip, inspired by Sinn Fein, the IRA's political wing.

Police are said to fear that the 'Black Power' militants will pick up lethal riot tactics from Sinn Fein and IRA instructors which may be introduced into inner city areas on the British mainland. The 12-strong group attended lectures in Republican West Belfast on IRA 'resistance' to British rule.

Steve Jomoa, national youth organiser of the Labour Party's black sections, remarked that his delegation would be "witnessing policing practice which is tried out first on Irish people and then perfected on black people." Jomoa refused to condemn Republican terrorist killings, which have claimed 70 lives in Ulster so far this year.

The leader of the visiting delegation was Rastafarian Kuomba Balogun, a 'community leader' from Bristol's black ghetto area of St. Pauls. Balogun has served 2 years of a 5-year sentence for firebombing a police station as well as a 3-month suspended sentence (reduced to 2 months on appeal) for assaulting police. During the 1986 race riot in St. Pauls, in which the senior police officer leading a drug swoop on the area suffered a heart attack, Balogun declared: "I hope the bastard dies."



BALOGUN
Eager to learn from IRA bombers

GOVERNMENT BACKING

More recently Balogun was appointed, with some ministerial backing from the government, to head a local 'development agency' in St. Pauls with a budget of £100,000 drawn from public funds. These public pay-offs are poured into heavily 'ethnic minority' inner city areas as part of the policy of appeasement which the Thatcher government has been pursuing in response to race riots. This contemptible collapse of will has its long-standing counterpart in the conduct of Ulster's affairs.

Ulster's murderers have learnt to capitalise on the weak, liberalistic mentality of Britain's rulers over the better part of two decades. By means of the bomb and the bullet, they have sought to force the province into a marxist Irish Republic against the will of its British majority. The gutless wimps of Westminster and Whitehall have not resolutely fulfilled their duty of defending Ulster and its loyal majority against the Republican threat — any more than they have fulfilled their duty of defending law-abiding citizens on the mainland against black mobs, such as at Tottenham or St. Pauls.

ENCOURAGED BY WEAKNESS

Against this sort of background, it is not surprising to find Irish Republicans, cock-a-hoop with the successes that British government weakness has given them, eager to teach their techniques of subversion to Britain's other enemies.

They obviously expect the black militants of Brixton, Tottenham and St. Pauls to be willing pupils. In fact, Sinn Feiners have already been given warm hospitality on this side of the Irish Sea by such figures as black Tottenham Labour MP Bernie Grant, who publicly exulted over the murder of PC Keith Blakelock during the Broadwater Farm riot of 1985.

WHAT MUST BE DONE

In face of this menace, it is time for all Britons in all areas of the country and from all sections of the population to wake up to the fact that they form ONE SINGLE NATIONAL COMMUNITY, from John O'Groats to Lands End and from Ulster to Kent, and that they are all equally threatened by these revolutionary forces, international in scope, which mean to make an end of Britain. It is time that the British people organised themselves to resist these forces, and that will only be possible by means of a nationalist political movement like the British National Party. It certainly will never be possible under cowardly and gutless politicians of the type who have capitulated in the face both of IRA violence in Ulster and the violence of black riot mobs in London, Birmingham and Bristol.

The Thatcher pet who came a cropper

Doesn't he look sweet! In fact he seems as if he has come straight out of a box with frilly wrappings. Who is he? He's Keith Best, up till recently the MP for Ynys-mon (Anglesey), a high-climber in the Tory Party and one of Mrs. Thatcher's big favourites. Then he slipped up. He got caught out illegally buying an excess number of shares in British Telecom. He ended up, after an appeal, paying a fine of £4,500. He was publicly disgraced and his political career was ruined.

More's the pity for Keith — for he was obviously destined to rise high in Tory politics. He was cast in the ideal mould for success. Smooth baby face, wimpish manner, a proper little pretty boy of the kind that gets on! These are the specimens that commend themselves these days to the Tory hierarchy and are then inflicted on the long-suffering voters as our would-be national 'leaders'. Is it any wonder, looking at them, that the Tory Party has become utterly effete?

CORRECTION

In our report last month of a BNP activity in Harwich, we referred to certain refugees as being Vietnamese 'boat people'. They were of course Tamils. We apologise to our readers for this error.

Leicester City Council: guilty as charged

SHORTLY after the formation of the British National Party in 1982, an application was made to Leicester City Council for a White Cultural Centre to be included in the council's inner area programme. The application was not made in the name of the BNP but it still was not really expected that it would succeed. It was the hope of the applicant to expose the reverse discrimination practised by the Council.

Almost every day, there were reports in Leicester about some project or other designed to benefit the ethnic minorities, but the application for the White Cultural Centre received no local publicity whatever. A statement sent to the press, but never published, read in part: ''Considering the millions of pounds made available to provide cultural projects and centres, etc., for Blacks and Asians, £284,000 would have been a small price to pay towards the well being of disadvantaged Anglo-Saxon-Celts living in the Highfields.'' It was pointed out that the decision of the City Council to turn down the application amounted to discrimination against the white race.

Recently it was revealed in a blaze of media publicity that the Leicester City Council had been caught out. The council was totally embarrassed at being caught red-handed blatantly discriminating against a white job applicant. So embarrassed were the Council representatives that they had no alternative but to admit their guilt openly — although at the same time they tried to blame central government for passing laws which were confusing. Typical of the left to blame everyone and everything other than themselves!



FOUGHT FOR WHITES
John Peacock (above) is Leicester and East Midlands Organiser of the British National Party. He has been instrumental in exposing blatant cases of racial discrimination by the local Council against white people in the city

Suspicious of the motives of the Council, Mr. Gordon McDonough applied for the job of gardener in his own name and also sent in another application for the same job on behalf of a fictitious Prakesh Patel. In the genuine application made on his own behalf, Mr. McDonough listed better qualifications for the job than those of the imaginary Mr. Patel.

However, when it came to granting interviews the council obliged 'Mr. Patel' but ignored Mr. McDonough!

DISCRIMINATION AGAINST WHITE SPORTS CLUBS

This is not the only case of reverse discrimination. There is also the case of the Forest Centre Junior Football Club. In the local press it was stated that the club ''has kicked out at the system of grants for local clubs to buy equipment.'' The club is located in Leicester Forest East, a white area on the edge of the city. Mr. Tony Dunkley, secretary of the club, asked ''why should being an ethnic minority be an advantage when applying for financial support for sports teams?''

The reply by Leicester City Council Recreational Committee Chairman, Mr. Derek Fryett, was: ''The Council policy is to try to support groups that are disadvantaged. On the whole, ethnic minority youngsters tend to have a higher proportion of the unemployed and live in areas which are poorer environmentally.''

What an insult! Considering the massive state investment in inner city areas populated by ethnic minorities, one is prompted to ask: why are those areas poorer environmentally? A further insult is the fact that the major rates and tax contributions that finance these aid projects for ethnic minorities still come from the indigenous British people.

These are just three examples of many in which white people have been discriminated against. All this time the politicians and media have been telling us that the discrimination in society is suffered primarily by members of the ethnic minorities! The British National Party has always maintained the contrary, and now at last the truth is coming out!

Shortly before the expose by Mr. McDonough, it was reported that an officer of the City Council's Race Relations Unit, Mrs. Karen Chouhan, was alleging racial and sexual discrimination. She submitted an application for the position of principal Race Relations Officer but was turned down in favour of an outside candidate (an ethnic minority male), who it was felt would have better qualifications for the job.

''After invoking the grievance procedure,'' it was stated in the press, ''Mrs. Chouhan then discovered the post had been offered to one of the three men interviewed, a Mr. Nahebub Ladha, who is currently a community relations officer in Peterborough.''

For years, people from the ethnic minorities have been crying out about imagined racial discrimination in Britain, but the true reality is that it is the **native British** who have been the victims of genuine racial discrimination.

The answer to these and many other injustices in Britain today lies in the policies of the British National Party. Where racial discrimination is concerned, the solution is plain and simple: **End the multi-racial experiment and send the ethnic minorities home. Then there will be no discrimination, either against them or against us!**

COMING EVENTS

Saturday, October 31st

LONDON

Annual national rally, this year devoted to the theme: NATIONALISTS UNITE BEHIND THE B.N.P.!

Rendezvous for redirection outside Friends House, Euston Road (opposite Euston Station) 1-2 p.m.

Sunday, November 8th (Remembrance Day)

YORK

March and rally in salute to UK and White Commonwealth war dead

BNP activists keep in touch with their branches for details

Saturday, November 21st

GLASGOW

St. Andrew's rally (to be held on nearest convenient Saturday to St. Andrew's Day)

BNP activists keep in touch with their branches for details

In addition to BNP members, all other nationalists and other members of the public are welcome to attend these events. Particular priority is being given to the London rally on October 21st, which promises this year to be a really great event. We ask all readers to set aside these dates and attend as many as possible of the events listed. Party leader JOHN TYNDALL and other leading spokesmen of the BNP will be present to speak at all the rallies. BNP branches and groups from all over the country will be organising parties to travel to the events. Book your place with these parties NOW!

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street, London E2 7BZ

WEST LONDON
PO Box 33, Greenford, Middx. UB6 8DS

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

NORTH ESSEX
PO Box 703, Colchester CO7 9SP

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

STOKE-ON-TRENT
PO Box 320, Tunstall, Stoke

EAST MIDLANDS
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

WIRRAL
PO Box 17, Birkenhead L41 3SF

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

LEEDS & BRADFORD
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

DONCASTER
PO Box 73, Doncaster DN4 6BS

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in West Kent, Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Luton, Cambridge, Brierley Hill (West Midlands), Burton-on-Trent, Nottingham, North Nottinghamshire, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax, Sheffield, Wakefield, Hull and Sunderland. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, write to party head office at PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82
Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'
Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; **Side 2:** Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM
Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the nationalist unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearsford Walker and others; **Side 2:** Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British Revolution'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I
Side: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II
Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); **Side 2:** Talk on 'The tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the 2nd World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III
Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-explanatory); **Side 2:** Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 24p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers order ONLY in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies	£1.20 + 37p post
25 copies	£2.70 + £1.000 post
50 copies	£4.80 + £2.00 post
100 copies	£8.40 + £2.45 post
150 copies	£12.00 + £2.65 post
200 copies	£15.00 + £2.90 post
300 copies	£21.00 + £3.10 post
400 copies	£26.40 + £3.40 post
500 copies	£32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies	£62.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5 is required on all foreign currency POs and cheques and an additional £1 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support for the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 25p ☐

I wish to become a full member and enclose £6 (Half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

I wish to become an associate member and enclose £3 (no half-rate) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Printed and published by BN Press, London SE23 2LS